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## Factorial Computation: Using Iteration

```
def iterative_factorial(n):
```

def iterative_factorial(n):
result = 1
result = 1
for i in range(2,n+1):
for i in range(2,n+1):
result *= i
result *= i
return result

```
    return result
```


## Recursion Coding Style

Recursion is a way of programming or coding a problem, in which a function calls itself one or more times in its body. Usually, it is returning the return value of this function call. If a function definition fulfils the condition of recursion, we call this function a recursive function.

Termination condition:

- A recursive function has to terminate to be used in a program.
- A recursive function terminates, if with every recursive call the solution of the problem is downsized and moves towards a base case.
- A base case is a case, where the problem can be solved without further recursion.


## Factorial Computation: Using Recursion

```
def factorial(n):
    if n == 1:
        return 1
    else:
        return n * factorial(n-1)
```

Factorial Computation
def factorial(n):
print("factorial has been called with $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{l}+\operatorname{str}(\mathrm{n})$ )
if $\mathrm{n}==1$ :
return 1
else:
res $=\mathrm{n} *$ factorial (n-1)
print("intermediate result for ", n, " * factorial(" ,n-1, "): return res
print(factorial(5))

Fibonacci Numbers

The Fibonacci numbers are defined by:
$F_{n}=F_{n-1}+F_{n-2}$
where $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=1$

- $0,1,1,2,3,5,8,13,21,34,55,89, \ldots$


## Factorial Computation: Using Recursion

```
def fib(n):
    if n == 0:
        return 0
    elif n == 1
        return 1
    else:
        return fib(n-1) + fib(n-2)
```

Factorial Computation: Using Iteration
def fibi(n):
$\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}=0,1$
for $i$ in range( $n$ ):
$\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$
return a

Measure Performance
import time
for i in range(1,41):
t1 = time.perf_counter ()
s = fib (i)
t2 = time.perf_counter () - t1
t3 = time.perf_counter ()
s = fibi $i$ )
$\mathrm{t} 4=$ time.perf_counter () - t3
print(f"n=\{i\}, fib: \{t2:.2f\}, fibi: \{t1:.2f\}, percent: \{t2/t4:

Factorial Computation: Using Recursion and Memory
memo $=\{0: 0,1: 1\}$
def fibm(n):
if not n in memo:
$\operatorname{memo[n]}=\mathrm{fibm}(\mathrm{n}-1)+\mathrm{fibm}(\mathrm{n}-2)$
return memo[n]

Fibonacci Numbers


Pebble Game


- Game played in turns by 2 players.
- Two piles of equal number of pebbles.
- Each turn a player may either
- take 1 pebble from a single pile, or
- take 1 pebble from both piles.
- The player that takes the last pebble wins.

Best Strategy for Winning the Pebble Game

- Does the first player always have an advantage?
- Let's consider the most simplified version.
- Pebbles $=2$ - we call this the $2 \times 2$ game.
- Is there a winning strategy?
- What is the winning strategy?


## Generaled Strategy for Winning the Pebble Game

- Can we generalize the strategy of the $2 \times 2$ game?
- What about the $3 \times 3$ game?
- Consider different game sequences.
- Consider the $n \times n$ game.
- Is there only one winning strategy?
- How easy it is to describe our strategy?
- Quality of solution.

We build a matrix for all game combinations. Four actions:

1. $\uparrow$ take one pebble from pile A .

2 . $\leftarrow$ take one pebble from pile $B$.
3. $\nwarrow$ take one pebble from each pile.
4. * ignore game

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- The first player always loses the $2 \times 2$.
- Clearly also for $0 \times 2,0 \times 4$,
- Can we generalize for all games where each pile has an even number of pebbles?


The first player always loses the $2 \times 2$.
Only 1 option for all $0 \times 1,0 \times 3, \ldots$ and $1 \times 0,3 \times 0$
Clearly also for $0 \times 2,0 \times 4$, .

- Can we generalize for all games where each pile has an even number of pebbles?

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |


|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |  |
| 5 |  |  | $*$ | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |
| 6 | $*$ |  | $*$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ | $*$ |  |
| 8 | $*$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $*$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Only 1 option for all $0 \times 1,0 \times 3, \ldots$ and $1 \times 0,3 \times 0, \ldots$

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |
| 1 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |
| 3 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |
| 5 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |
| 7 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |
| 9 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |  | $*$ |

Only 1 option for all $0 \times 1,0 \times 3, \ldots$ and $1 \times 0,3 \times 0, \ldots$

- Can we generalize for other columns/rows where one pile has an odd number of pebbles and the other an even?

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |
| 1 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |
| 2 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |
| 3 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |
| 4 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |
| 5 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |
| 6 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |
| 7 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |
| 8 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |
| 9 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ |
| 10 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |

- Only 1 option for all $0 \times 1,0 \times 3, \ldots$ and $1 \times 0,3 \times 0, \ldots$
- Can we generalize for other columns/rows where one pile has an odd number of pebbles and the other an even?
- What about the other rows/columns?

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |
| 1 | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| 2 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |
| 3 | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| 4 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |
| 5 | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| 6 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |
| 7 | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| 8 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |
| 9 | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\nwarrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| 10 | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ | $\leftarrow$ | $*$ |

An algorithmic approach for winning the Pebble Game

- How can we build the matrix for any game size, e.g., $20 \times 20$
- What is the algorithm for winning the game?
- Why should I care?

An algorithmic approach for winning the Pebble Game

- How can we build the matrix for any game size, e.g., $20 \times 20$
- What is the algorithm for winning the game?

An algorithmic approach for winning the Pebble Game

- How can we build the matrix for any game size, e.g., $20 \times 20$
- What is the algorithm for winning the game?
- Why should I care?
- It is the sequence alignment problem.
- The computational idea used to solve both problems is the same.
- We need to understand how algorithms work.


## Methodology of solving a computational problem

- What is the problem at hand ?
- Identify \& Understand assumptions.
- What is our goal ?
- Identify similar problems/solutions in the bibliography
- What are the theoretical foundation ?
- Can we formulate the problem in a unambiguous and precise way?
- What is the Input that we have ?
- Do we have enough data or should we try to collect?
- Open data sets ?
- Can we synthesize input data ?
- What is the expected Output ?


## Solution Sketch

- Do we have a rough idea of a solution ?
- Do we have identified an approach to solving the problem ?
- think again!
- go through the definition - maybe we overlooked something ?
- Write down a solution sketch
- check if it adheres to the initial assumptions
- can you try it out with a small input?
- Is the solution correct ? can we provide some arguments ?
- What is the performance of the solution ?
- Can we think of a more efficient solution?


## Implement the first version

- Pick your programming language of choice.
- Implement your solution
- No need to try to make it elegant / fast.
- Remember Donalt Knuth: There is no such thing as early optimization.
- Get some input data
- Open datasets
- Small size
- Limited Evaluation
- does it work ?
- do you need to make any modifications ?
- are there special cases that you missed ?


## Iterative approach

- Step-by-step development
- Continuous development.
- Agile methodology.
- Identify issues in previous version
- Code beautification.
- Bug fixes.
- Performance improvements.
- Additional functionalities.
- Implement improvements
- Make sure code is always clean + easy to maintain.
- Keep detailed records of changes.
- Always keep history of source code evolution
- Performance Evaluation
- bigger input.
- scalability ?

Theoretical - Practical Approach Cycle


## Measuring Performance

- Performance of an algorithm?
- Speed/Computational Time
- Memory/Space
- Robustness/Failures
- Network/Communication
- Consumption/Energy
- ...
- How can we measure the speed/memory/robustness/... of an algorithm?
- How much performance degrades when the amount of input data increases?


## Quality of Code

John Woods
Always code as if the guy who ends up maintaining your code will be a violent psychopath who knows where you live.

## Computational Time Complexity

## Computational Complexity

Describes the change in the runtime of an algorithm, depending on the change in the input data's size.

- Measures the speed of an algorithm.
- How much additional time it requires when the amount of input data increases.
- Examples:
- How much longer does it take to find an element within an unsorted array when the size of the array doubles?
- How much longer does it take to find an element within a sorted array when the size of the array doubles?


## Space Complexity

Computational Complexity
Describes the requirements in terms of memory of an algorithm, depending on the size of the input data.

- Measures the memory requirements of an algorithm.
- Without considering the size of the input data.
- Additional memory is used by:
- Helper variables within loops.
- Temporary data structures.
- Call stack.
- ...


## Complexity Classes - Big O Notation

- We organize algorithms into Complexity Classes
- A complexity class is noted using the Bachmann-Landau symbol $\mathcal{O}$ ("big O")
- Let $f$ the function to be estimated
- Let $g$ the comparison function
- We write $f(x)=\mathcal{O}(g(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$
- $f$ is bounded above by $g$ (up to constant factor) asymptotically.
- We do not measure the exact running time rather we classify the behaviour when $n$ is sufficiently large.


## Complexity Classes - Asymptotic behaviour

- An algorithm may contain sub-components of different complexity.
- For large inputs, the behaviour will be dominated by the part of the complexity that grows fastest.
- Complexity function $g(n)=100 \times n^{2}+10000 \times n+840$ grows like $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$
- Complexity function $g(n)=0.33 \times n^{3}$ grows like $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$
- If $f(x)$ is a sum of several terms: we keep the one with the largest growth rate.
- If $f(x)$ is a product of several factors, any constants can be omitted.


## Constant Time - $\mathcal{O}(1)$

- Pronounced: "Order 1", "O of 1", "big O of 1"
- The runtime is constant.
- Independent of the number of input elements $n$.
- Examples
- Accessing a specific ellement of an array of size $n$.
- Inserting an element at the beginning of a list.



## Linear Time $-\mathcal{O}(n)$

- Pronounced: "Order n", "O of n", "big O of n"
- Runtime grows linearly with the number of input elements $n$.
- If $n$ doubles, then the runtime approximately doubles, too.
- Examples
- Finding a specific ellement in an array of size $n$.
- Summing up all elements of an array.



## Quadratic Time - $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$

- Pronounced: "Order $n$ squared", "O of $n$ squared", "big $O$ of n squared"
- Runtime grows linearly to the square of the number of input elements $n$.
- If $n$ doubles, then the runtime approximately quadruples.
- Examples
- Simple sorting algorithms (e.g., Insertion Sort).


Input Size (n)

## Logarithmic Time - $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$

- Pronounced: "Order $\log \mathrm{n}$ ", "O of $\log \mathrm{n} "$, , "big O of $\log \mathrm{n} "$
- Runtime increases by a constant amount when the number of input elements $n$ doubles.
- Examples
- Binary search.


Big O Notation Order

- $\mathcal{O}(1)$ - constant time
- $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ - logarithmic time
- $\mathcal{O}(n)$ - linear time
- $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ - quasilinear time
- $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ - quadratic time


Other Complexity Classes

- $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{m}\right)$ - polynomial time
- $\mathcal{O}\left(2^{n}\right)$ - exponential time
- $\mathcal{O}(n!)$ - factorial time



## Little-oh and Big-Theta notations

- We write $f(x)=o(g(x))$ - read " $f(x)$ is little-oh of $g(x)$ "
- $g(x)$ grows much faster than $f(x)$
- $f$ is dominated by $g$ asymptotically.
- $\mathcal{O}$ has to be true for at least one constant $M$, little-o holds for every postivie constant $\epsilon$, however small.
- We write $f(x)=\Theta(g(x))$ - read " $f(x)$ is big-theta of $g(x)$ "
- $f$ is bounded both above and below by $g$ asymptotically.
- Consider $T(n)=73 n^{3}+22 n^{2}+58$, all the following are generally acceptable:
- $T(n)=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{100}\right)$ - grows asymptotically no faster than $n^{1} 00$
- $T(n)=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ - grows asymptotically no faster than $n^{3}$
- $T(n)=\Theta\left(n^{3}\right)$ - grows asymptotically as fast as $n^{3}$

